



CO₂ reduction by C₃N₄-TiO₂ Nafion photocatalytic membrane reactor as a promising environmental pathway to solar fuels

Adele Brunetti^a, Francesca Rita Pomilla^{a,b,c}, Giuseppe Marcì^c, Elisa Isabel Garcia-Lopez^d,
Enrica Fontananova^a, Leonardo Palmisano^c, Giuseppe Barbieri^{a,*}

^a Institute on Membrane Technology (ITM-CNR), National Research Council c/o the University of Calabria, Cubo 17C, Via Pietro Bucci, 87036 Rende CS, Italy

^b Department of Environmental and Chemical Engineering, The University of Calabria Via Pietro Bucci, 87036 Rende CS, Italy

^c Department of Engineering, Università di Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, 90128 Palermo, Italy

^d Department of Biological, Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technologies, Università di Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, 90128 Palermo, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
CO₂ utilization
Solar fuel

ABSTRACT

We investigated CO₂ photocatalytic reduction coupling, for the first time in literature, the assets offered by the continuous operating mode using C₃N₄-TiO₂ photo-catalyst embedded in a dense Nafion matrix. The reactor performance was analyzed under UV-vis light in terms of productivity, selectivity and converted carbon. Reaction pressure was specifically investigated for its effect as a “driver” in determining reactor performance, modulating products removal from the reaction volume. In addition, the membrane reactor performance was explored as a function of H₂O/CO₂ feed molar ratio and contact time. The higher feed pressure (5 bar) led to a lesser MeOH production and a greater amount of HCHO, owing to a hindered desorption, which promoted partial oxidation reactions. Total converted carbon instead did not vary significantly with reaction pressure. Membrane reactor with C₃N₄-TiO₂ photocatalyst resulted more performant than other photocatalytic membrane reactors in terms of carbon converted (61 μmol g_{catalyst}⁻¹ h⁻¹).

1. Introduction

The energy strategy, e.g., of the European Union for 2030 and 2050 fixes specific targets for a gradual transition from the current energy system towards a low carbon one, aiming at decreasing Greenhouse Gas emissions in the environment, improving energy efficiency and increasing the use of renewable energy sources [1]. Although utilization of CO₂ is not new, as it is used for Enhanced Oil Recovery in the US since the 1970s, it is only until recently that the development of different forms and ways to utilize CO₂ got raising interest. Although it is difficult to assess the market of CO₂ reuse precisely, the classic industrial utilization of CO₂ was estimated to 153 Mton worldwide (40 Mton of which for enhanced hydrocarbon recovery) in 2008, which represents 0.5% of yearly global emissions.² The main challenge in reducing CO₂ emissions consists in finding new applications beyond the 153 Mton reused today; particularly via new technologies which could develop into bigger scale processes whilst ensuring they remain profitable and positive for the environment. For instance, synthesizing energy products could ultimately use 5 to 10% of world emissions, i.e. between 1.5 and 3 Gt of CO₂ – ten times more than the amount of CO₂ currently used [2]. Renewable solar energy is used to harness the sun

energy and convert it into usable products through solar heating, photovoltaics, thermal electricity, and architecture, and artificial photosynthesis [3–5]. Photocatalytic transformation of CO₂ into solar fuels is more sustainable, green and favourable than other technologies because of zero additional energy consumption and environmental deterioration.

Nowadays, various semiconductors such as metal oxides, sulphides, graphene-based materials, are explored to act as CO₂ reduction photocatalysts [6]. Among those photocatalysts, TiO₂ is the most extensively investigated UV-light-responsive photo-catalyst because of its exceptional properties such as high chemical stability, low cost, easy availability, and suitable band alignment to CO₂ reduction and water redox potentials [7–13]. Despite these advances, the use of TiO₂ for photocatalytic CO₂ reduction is limited owing to its large band gap, the fast recombination rates of photo-generated charges, and low CO₂ adsorption capacity [14,15].

Graphite carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄), possessing a two-dimensional (2D) nanosheet structure like graphene, has recently attracted much attention. The basic skeleton structure of g-C₃N₄ consists of tri-s-triazine units connected with tertiary amino groups, which owns regularly distributed triangular water-selective permeation nanopores

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: g.barbieri@itm.cnr.it (G. Barbieri).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.117779>

Received 1 April 2019; Received in revised form 16 May 2019; Accepted 25 May 2019

Available online 27 May 2019

0926-3373/ © 2019 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

throughout the entire laminar structure [16,17]. Moreover, the spacers between the g-C₃N₄ nanosheets, which interact with each other through weak van der Waals forces, also provide nanochannels for water transport while bigger molecules are retained [18,19]. Owing to this unique nanosheet structure, g-C₃N₄ exhibited many useful properties with applications in many fields, such as materials for membrane separation [20,21], photocatalysis [22–24], and electronic devices [25]. g-C₃N₄ shows other advantages for CO₂ photo-reduction because it is rich of N basic sites, which favour the CO₂ adsorption step. Because of these outstanding properties, several reports were published on different synthetic strategies affording specific crystal phase, surface area, energy bands position and band gap energy [26,27].

The synthesis of composite hybrid materials was explored to improve photocatalytic activity, taking advantage of the complementary features of inorganic and organic photocatalysts [28]. Composites of g-C₃N₄ and TiO₂ have shown superior photocatalytic activity by the formation of an artificial photocatalytic Z-scheme [29–31]. They were used for various applications including CO₂ reduction [32–35]. Very recently, Petit et al. [28] reported on the use, for the first time, of TiO₂/C₃N₄ nanosheet nanocomposites for CO₂ photoreduction under UV–vis irradiation using H₂ as sacrificial agent, demonstrating that the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ could be improved greatly by forming composite materials with increased CO₂ adsorption capacity and which can suppress electron-hole recombination by facilitation of charge transfer.

On the basis of these results, in this work g-C₃N₄ was successfully coupled with TiO₂ to promote CO₂ photo-reduction in a photocatalytic membrane reactor. TiO₂ is selected to afford the hard water oxidation semi-reaction (+1.23 eV vs SHE) being the C₃N₄ valence band just enough positive to drive it (+1.5 eV vs SHE). TiO₂ has, in fact, a greater band gap (ca. 3.0 eV) [34], even though a less negative conduction band (−0.3 eV) [34], thus less tendency to reduce CO₂. By a favoured H₂O reaction with the photogenerated h⁺, the free H⁺ ions required for CO₂ reduction semi-reaction are available. The coupling of these two catalysts promotes CO₂ conversion, enhancing the composite capability in terms of H₂O oxidation and CO₂ reduction [36–38].

We already demonstrated the advantage offered by the use of a photocatalytic membrane reactor operated in continuous with respect to a traditional batch reactor [39,40]. The immobilization of catalyst into polymeric membrane supports and, thus, the use of the membrane as a “mirror” and a contactor significantly enhanced the mass transfer rate of CO₂ and offered the better exposition of catalyst surface area to reactants and light. Further advantages offered by membrane reactor use are the tuning of contact between reactants and catalyst, the reduction of catalyst particles aggregation, an easier recovery of the catalyst which can be simply reused, and a better control of fluid-dynamics.

We used home-made dense mixed matrix TiO₂-based Nafion [39] and C₃N₄-Nafion [40] membranes in photocatalytic reactors operated in continuous for CO₂ photo-reduction.

By comparing these works with the data reported for batch systems, the advantage offered by the continuous system was confirmed, obtaining a MeOH production higher than most of the ones obtained by using simple C₃N₄ or TiO₂ as catalyst and higher or, at least, comparable when it is used as co-catalyst in batch conditions. Moreover, it is worth noticing that differently from what can be found in most of the literature for batch reactors, continuous photocatalytic reactors did not show the production of neither CH₄ nor CO. The synergic effect of the use of a photocatalytic membrane and a continuous flow mode reactor allows the substrate to undergo a lower degree of reduction as fresh CO₂ is continuously fed into the system and the produced species are continuously removed from the catalytic sites, reducing the possibility of having over-oxidation [39,40].

A key role was played by the continuous operating mode of the photocatalytic reactor which allowed a continuous removal of products from the reaction volume, promoting conversion and depleting side and

oxidation reactions. Contact time and feed molar ratio played a primary role in determining reactor performance, allowing the opportune modulation of products removal from the reaction volume, depleting undesired side and secondary reactions. Nafion, operating similarly to a support in heterogenous catalysis, significantly changes the micro-environment around the photocatalyst particle: it favours CO₂ and H₂O molecules adsorption, driving the adsorbed molecules towards the catalyst. The most significant contribution, beyond the previous one, is the membrane functionality, which changes the flow-path followed by reactants and products from one membrane surface to the other membrane side. This changes significantly the weight of the different elementary reaction steps and leads to a different products distribution.

Inspired by these findings, in this work we report on the reduction of CO₂ to fuels in a continuous photocatalytic membrane reactor equipped with a Nafion membrane with embedded exfoliated C₃N₄-TiO₂. It is a relevant advancement with respect to the previous works, as it couples the assets offered by the continuous operating mode with the use of an enhanced photocatalyst (C₃N₄-TiO₂) directly embedded in a dense polymer matrix. Feed pressure effect was specifically investigated for the first time in literature. This parameter is, in fact, well known as a “driver” in determining reactor performance, allowing the opportune modulation of contact between reactants and catalyst as well as products removal from the reaction volume. In addition, the membrane reactor performance was explored as a function of H₂O/CO₂ feed molar ratio and contact time, both variables having a primary role in the attainment of a much more efficient and selective conversion process.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Nafion™ 5 wt.% solution was purchased from Quintech e.K. – Brennstoffzellen Technologie, Germany. Melamine (C₃H₆N₆, 99.0%), methanol (anhydrous 99.8%), absolute ethanol (reagent ISO, reagent Pharmacopoeia European, ≥99.8%), acetone (analytical standard) and formaldehyde (absolute, reagent ISO, reagent Pharmacopoeia European ≥99.8%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, while TiO₂ (P25) (100%) from Evonik. All chemicals were used as received without any further purification. CO₂ (5.0) was purchased from Sapio srl (Italy). Water used throughout the experiments was purified by means of a Milli-Q system.

All the details on catalyst and catalytic membrane preparation and characterization can be found in the Supplementary Information.

2.2. Photocatalytic membrane reactor set-up

C₃N₄-TiO₂/Nafion membrane was assembled in a stainless-steel module (membrane reactor) equipped with a quartz window allowing UV–vis irradiation. The membrane exposed surface area, allowed by this membrane reactor, is 4.1 cm².

The membrane reactor mainly consists of three parts: the catalytic membrane, the feed/retentate chamber and the permeate chamber. The membrane module was placed in such a way that the membrane was in a vertical position to facilitate permeate and retentate sampling of liquid fuels, as well as avoiding stagnant zones. The membrane was irradiated by a medium-high mercury vapor pressure lamp (Zs lamp, Helios Ital quartz, Milan) with emittance from 360 nm (UV–vis) to 600 nm. The membrane module was continuously fed with CO₂ by means of a mass flow controller, and H₂O fed by means of an HPLC pump, to properly control the feed molar ratio. H₂O was, then, partially vaporized before entering the module by means of an heating coil placed in the exposition chamber. We obtained a multiphase condition with the gaseous phase constituted by CO₂ and part of H₂O, the rest of this latter being in liquid phase.

A reaction pressure of 3 and 5 bar was regulated by a back-pressure




Fig. 1. Scheme of photocatalytic membrane reactor setup.

controller. The permeate and retentate streams outgoing from the reactor were cooled with apposite ice traps to condense the liquid fraction. The remaining gaseous fraction was then measured by bubble soap flow-meters, (Fig. 1). The composition of these gaseous streams was measured by an Agilent Technologies 7890A gas chromatograph with two TCDs and two parallel analytical lines directly connected to retentate and permeate streams. Each line was equipped with two columns: an HP-Plot-5A, to separate permanent gases such as H_2 , O_2 , N_2 , CH_4 and CO (detection limit = 100 ppm) and an HP-Poraplot Q, for other species, such as CO_2 , C_2H_4 , C_2H_6 , etc. (detection limit = 100 ppm).

The concentration of oxygen obtained as oxidation product of the various reactions is very low and below the impurity contained, e.g., in CO_2 cylinder; therefore, it was not measured. The condensate fraction of the retentate and permeate, periodically sampled, was analysed by an Agilent 6890 N gas chromatograph with FID and an HP-5 column. It has to be highlighted that all the measurements, lasting 25–30 h, were performed under a steady state condition, which was reached within 5 h for all the species.

Table 1 summarizes the operating conditions used for photocatalytic measurements. As aforementioned, the performance of photocatalytic membrane reactor was evaluated, among the others, also as a function of the contact time, which was defined accordingly to (Eq. (1)) referring to the weight of catalyst dispersed in the membrane and CO_2 feed flow rate at STP condition. Therefore, the CO_2 feed flow rate is the same at the same contact time independently of pressure and temperature. The CO_2 was considered as the limiting reagent. No difference in CO_2 exiting the reactor from the feed one could be measured practically; therefore, CO_2 conversion did not represent an appreciable value to be considered significant in order to evaluate the reactor performance. Instead, it was evaluated through the produced species flow rate/catalyst weight (Eq. (2)), the total converted carbon/catalyst weight ratio (Eq. (3)), and reaction selectivity (Eq. (4)).

$$\text{Contact time} = \frac{\text{Catalyst weight embedded in the membrane}}{CO_2 \text{ feed flow rate [at STP]}}, s \quad (1)$$

Table 1
Operating conditions for reaction experiments.

CO_2 flow rate, mL(STP) min^{-1}	17.5; 30
H_2O/CO_2 feed molar ratio	0.5; 2; 5
Feed/Reaction Pressure, bar	3; 5
Permeate Pressure, bar	1
Contact time, s	2; 3.5

* STP - standard temperature and pressure: 0 °C and 1 bar.

$$\frac{\text{Produced species flow rate}}{\text{Catalyst weight}} = \frac{\text{Compound flow rate}}{\text{Amount of catalyst dispersed in membrane}}, \frac{\mu\text{mol}}{h g_{\text{catalyst}}} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\text{Converted carbon}}{\text{Catalyst weight}} = \frac{\sum \text{Carbon flow rate for each produced species}}{\text{Amount of catalyst dispersed in membrane}}, \frac{\mu\text{mol}}{h g_{\text{catalyst}}} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Reaction Selectivity}_i = \frac{i - \text{species flow rate}}{\sum_i^n \text{species flow rate}}, - \quad (4)$$

The catalytic membrane underwent a cleaning procedure before starting photocatalytic reaction measurements to remove any residuals solvent and other low molecular weight organics possibly present in the polymer solution that, otherwise, could be released during the reaction measurements invalidating the results. The membrane cleaning, carried out using the membrane reactor set up used for reaction experiments, consists in subjecting the membrane to a “blank reaction” procedure, where an argon stream is fed together with H_2O to the reactor instead of CO_2 , at the same operating condition chosen for the catalytic experiment including light irradiation. It lasted 70 h.

The species distribution as a function of time on stream confirms the presence of MeOH, EtOH, HCHO and acetone at the reactor exit, which decreased along with the time (Fig. 2). After about 55 h, no species were longer detected at the reactor outlet. This treatment was protracted for further 14 h for confirming the absence of the various species and, therefore, their nature as residues. The membrane was “cleaned”, therefore the species measured in reaction experiments could only be attributed to the photocatalytic activity of the membrane. The cleaning procedure, in which Ar was fed instead of CO_2 for about 70 h, confirmed (Fig. 2) the absence of CO_2 in the photocatalytic system; in fact, any MeOH, EtOH, or HCHO, etc. was produced. The stability and reproducibility of reaction measurements confirmed the absence of any Nafion volatilization or C_3N_4 decomposition. As mentioned in our previous work [38], Nafion (in membrane shape) is the most used polymer in polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells, which usually operate for really long-time (tens thousands hours) up to 80 °C, although other measures were carried up to 140 °C. That confirms the long-term stability of Nafion under heating and in presence of water vapour. Similar resistance is expected in the photocatalytic applications under visible/UV light irradiation. We excluded photo-catalyst decomposition since the amount of C_3N_4 present in the membrane is such that, assuming that everything could have degraded, it could have led to a total converted carbon of about 7.5 μmol , with relevant variations in stability and reproducibility of reaction measurements. More than 150 μmol of converted carbon were measured in the whole experiments period. The experimental campaign lasted around 160 h. Each measure was repeated along this period to check reproducibility and stability,






Fig. 2. Cleaning of the C_3N_4 - TiO_2 loaded Nafion membrane.

and no performance change was observed.

3. Results and discussion



The distribution and the amount of species produced by photocatalytic reaction strongly depends on H_2O/CO_2 feed molar ratio (Fig. 3). A high formation of methanol prevails on the other reaction products, at a high H_2O/CO_2 feed molar ratio, reaching $44.7 \mu\text{mol g}_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$, and corresponding to a selectivity of about 83%. A low feed molar ratio instead leads to a wider species distribution. In particular for a H_2O/CO_2 feed molar ratio of 0.5, even though MeOH selectivity is still above 40% with a production rate of $1.7 \mu\text{mol g}_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$, ethanol and acetone are present, showing a reaction selectivity towards these species of 23.4 and 32.8%, respectively. However, the total converted carbon/catalyst weight, which is a measure of the capability of the system to convert CO_2 into other carbon-containing species, is quite modest, being below $10 \mu\text{mol g}_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$. It means that the high feed molar ratio promotes the methanol selectivity production, as confirmed by a total carbon converted of $58.2 \mu\text{mol g}_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ measured at a feed molar ratio of 5. This trend can be explained by the following (not-elementary) reactions:



At a H_2O/CO_2 feed molar ratio of 5 MeOH is the main product (Eq. (5)), as already observed in previous works [41–43]. The formation of acetone and ethanol, in water defect, can be explained considering the occurrence of side reactions of intermediates such as HCHO (Eq. (7)).

Oxygen is one of the oxidation product as foreseen by reactions (Eqs. (5), (6) and (7)). HCHO, another oxidation product, before leaving the catalytic site reacts (Eqs. (8) and (9)) [37] with CH_3OH .

In an open flow system, such as a continuous membrane reactor, any species and also the oxygen is transported far from the reaction volumes (on both retentate and permeate chambers). Thus, the concentrations of the reaction products are lowered by continuous removal and no accumulation (increase) takes place in a steady state. The concentration of some species can be very low and might happen, as for oxygen, to be under detection limit of our apparatus. The steady state requires that the concentration of all the species are constant in time in both membrane reactor chambers; they are measured sampling the respective exiting permeate and retentate streams. The dynamics of elementary reactions step involving oxygen or oxygen-semiconductor interactions cannot produce a net oxygen amount to give a measurable concentration of it with our apparatus.



Similar trends were obtained at a contact time of 3.5 s (Fig. 4), where MeOH was still the favoured product at a high H_2O/CO_2 feed molar ratio. However, the total converted carbon was less than a half of that obtained at a contact time of 2 s.

Analogously, acetone was present at a low feed molar ratio as the reaction selectivity shows; $3.93 \mu\text{mol g}_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ HCHO were formed at a feed molar ratio of 5, to which corresponds a reaction selectivity close to 20%. In any case, the catalyst was highly selective toward MeOH and this can be attributed to the presence of TiO_2 , which in our previous work exhibited a full selectivity toward MeOH. [39]

As it is evident by comparing the results reported in Figs. 3 and 4, the contact time significantly influences the product distribution and the amount of carbon converted. A preferential formation of MeOH to the other species corresponds at the lowest contact time (Fig. 5). MeOH amount decreases in favour of other species such as HCHO as contact time increases. A high contact time, in fact, corresponds to a slower removal of the reaction mixture from reaction volume. This can induce MeOH to be partially oxidized producing formaldehyde (Eq. (10)) or fully oxidized to CO_2 as reaction product. On the contrary, a low contact time implies a fast product removal from the reaction volume exposed to the light and, thus, a less promotion of side reactions.




Fig. 3. Species flow rate/catalyst weight and reaction selectivity of the reaction products as a function of H_2O/CO_2 feed molar ratio at a contact time of 2 s.




Fig. 4. Species flow rate/catalyst weight and reaction selectivity of the reaction products as a function of H₂O/CO₂ feed molar ratio at a contact time of 3.5 s.



The dependence of membrane reactor performance on the contact time is well highlighted in Fig. 6 in terms of total converted carbon per gram of catalyst, as an indication of the capability of the system to convert CO₂ into other carbon-containing species.

A high contact time was traduced in a less performant reactor, in fact, the converted carbon is twice at 2 s. The highest conversion of 58.2 μmol g_{catalyst}⁻¹ h⁻¹ (which corresponds to a quantum efficiency of 0.06%) occurred at the lowest contact time and the highest H₂O/CO₂ feed molar ratio. Moreover, converted carbon tended to increase quite linearly with the feed molar ratio. This increase, much higher at a lower contact time, could be owed to the less significant occurrence of side reactions. Reaction pressure is another parameter, which most often affects the performance of a membrane reactor through reactant adsorption and product desorption. In this view, we explored reactor performance at 5 bar of reaction pressure, fixing the feed molar ratio and contact time that provided the best performance at 3 bar (Fig. 7).

MeOH and HCHO resulted the unique products at these new conditions, with a lower amount of MeOH and a greater amount of HCHO with respect to the results obtained at 3 bar. This behaviour can find an explanation in a hindered desorption induced by the higher reaction pressure, which leads to partial oxidation reactions and, thus, to the formation of HCHO to the detriment of MeOH. Total converted carbon resulted really close to that obtained at 3 bar, indicating that the system capability of converting carbon does not change significantly at 5 bar. Even though the reaction is thermodynamically favoured by pressure




Fig. 6. Converted Carbon/catalyst weight as a function of the contact time at different H₂O/CO₂ feed molar ratios (indicated as "m").

owing to a reduction in mole number, this positive effect is counter-balanced by difficulties in desorption, which leads to a reduced advantage on total conversion. The reaction is, thus, less selective toward alcohols, passing from 90.8% at 3 bar to 55.1% at 5 bar, whereas it is




Fig. 5. Species flow rate/catalyst weight of the products as a function of the contact time at H₂O/CO₂ feed molar ratio of 2 and 5.




Fig. 7. Species flow rate/ and Converted Carbon / catalyst weight at 3 and 5 bar.

Table 2

Reaction Selectivity of the various products at a reaction pressure of 3 and 5 bar. (@H₂O/CO₂ feed molar ratio = 5 and contact time = 2 s).

Species	Reaction selectivity, %	
	3 bar	5 bar
MeOH	83.2 ± 0.64	54.9 ± 1.0
EtOH	7.6 ± 0.36	0.25 ± 0.01
Total (alcohols)	90.8 ± 1	55.1 ± 1.01
Acetone	0.31 ± 0.02	0.27 ± 0.008
HCHO	8.9 ± 0.024	44.6 ± 0.52

preferentially selective toward HCHO with a selectivity of 44.6%, five times higher than that obtained at 3 bar (Table 2).

3.1. Comparison with other photocatalytic membrane reactors

In our previous works, we studied the CO₂ reduction in photocatalytic membranes reactor by using a TiO₂/Nafion membrane [39] and a C₃N₄/Nafion membrane. [40] In this work, we used the same system with a C₃N₄-TiO₂ catalyst embedded in Nafion as a new photocatalytic membrane. Table 3 summarizes the weight composition of the three membranes investigated.

Three different contents of the two photocatalytic phases are, here, compared: pure TiO₂, pure C₃N₄ and a mixture TiO₂:C₃N₄ = 25:75 wt. %. This ratio was selected for having the same surface area extension for both C₃N₄ and TiO₂, which have a specific surface area of 154 and 50 m² g⁻¹, respectively. Fig. 8 shows a comparison of the results obtained with the two membranes containing C₃N₄ at a contact time of 2 s and 3 bar of reaction pressure. The figure shows also the results obtained by Sellaro et al. [39] by using TiO₂ Nafion membrane, which represents the first attempt to perform this reaction in a photo-catalytic membrane reactor.

The reactor with C₃N₄/Nafion membrane showed a prevalent formation of alcohols (MeOH and EtOH) with a total production of 32.8 μmol g_{catalyst}⁻¹ h⁻¹ and 47.6 μmol g_{catalyst}⁻¹ h⁻¹ of total converted carbon at the feed molar ratio equal to 5 (Fig. 8a). So far, this value resulted the highest among those reported in open literature up to date.

Table 3

Photocatalytic Membranes – Catalysts content.

Membranes	TiO ₂ , wt. %	C ₃ N ₄ , wt. %	TiO ₂ :C ₃ N ₄ wt. %	Thickness μm	Ref.
C ₃ N ₄ /Nafion	0	1.2	0:100	65 ± 1	[40]
C ₃ N ₄ -TiO ₂ /Nafion	0.9	0.3	75:25	78 ± 7	This work
TiO ₂ /Nafion	1.2	0	100:0	59 ± 0.2	[39]




Fig. 8. Comparison of a) species flow rate/catalyst weight and b) reaction selectivity towards the reaction products at H₂O/CO₂ feed molar ratios of 2 and 5 for C₃N₄-TiO₂/Nafion (light-blue bars) and C₃N₄/Nafion (yellow bars) and TiO₂/Nafion (dotted blue bars) photocatalytic membranes. Reaction pressure = 3 bar and contact time = 2.0 s. The inset shows the converted carbon on catalyst weight at the same conditions.

In this work, C₃N₄-TiO₂/Nafion membrane reactor, instead, resulted in a greater production of alcohols (48.8 μmol g_{catalyst}⁻¹ h⁻¹) with a marked methanol formation (44.7 μmol g_{catalyst}⁻¹ h⁻¹) with respect to EtOH, at the same conditions. The system resulted globally more performant than the previous one with a carbon converted/catalyst weight of 58.2 μmol g_{catalyst}⁻¹ h⁻¹ and more selective toward MeOH (Fig. 8b) obtaining 83.2 versus 53.6 of the C₃N₄/Nafion membrane reactor.

This might be also attributed to the heterojunction formation of C₃N₄ based materials, which usually enhances photocatalytic performance to CO₂ conversion, as widely discussed in literature [40]. The positive effect on the MeOH selectivity can be ascribed to the presence of TiO₂, as it can be found in Sellaro et al. [39]. In that case, the reaction was carried out with a H₂O/CO₂ feed molar ratio of 5 and 3 bar of pressure, with a contact time of ca. 2.1 s and the reaction resulted fully selective towards MeOH. Fig. 9 shows the MeOH production per gram of catalyst and the reaction selectivity as a function of TiO₂ embedded in the Nafion membrane. As it can be clearly seen, the MeOH production increases with the TiO₂ content, passing from 17.9 when only C₃N₄ was embedded into Nafion membrane to 45 for 100% of TiO₂. The total converted carbon passes from ca. 45 when only C₃N₄ or TiO₂ was embedded into Nafion membrane to 60 micro-mol g_{catalyst}⁻¹ h⁻¹ – one third more – for C₃N₄ - TiO₂ composite. The membrane reactor with C₃N₄-TiO₂ photocatalyst, results thus more performant than the




Fig. 9. MeOH flow rate/catalyst weight (filled in blue triangle over blue left axis) and reaction selectivity towards MeOH (filled in red circle over red right axis) and converted carbon (green bars) for C_3N_4 -TiO₂-Nafion (blue bars) as a function of the TiO₂ percentage in the catalyst embedded in the photocatalytic membrane. Reaction pressure = 3 bar, H₂O/CO₂ feed molar ratio = 5 and contact time = 2.0 s.

other systems in terms of carbon converted, even though less selective toward MeOH.

In all the three photocatalytic reactors, MeOH, EtOH, HCHO and Acetone were the solely products, whereas we did not observe CO, CH₄ or H₂. The photocatalytic CO₂ reduction mechanism is very complex and various hypotheses were advanced on the formation of the various products deriving from CO₂. Generally, the compounds formed during the gaseous photocatalytic CO₂ reduction were CO and CH₄ whilst formic acid, formaldehyde and methanol were mainly observed during liquid phase runs. The absence of CH₄, CO and H₂ can probably be firstly attributed to a higher H₂O/CO₂ feed molar ratio. Secondly, the synergic effect of the use of membranes inside which the photocatalyst was embedded and a continuous flow mode reactor to carry out the reaction of CO₂ and H₂O leads to a faster removal of the formed products, which undergo a lower degree of reduction [44].

4. Conclusions

In this work, CO₂ reduction was carried out in a continuous photocatalytic reactor with an exfoliated C_3N_4 -TiO₂ loaded membrane, not reported up today in the literature, irradiated by UV light. The assets offered by the continuous operating mode of the membrane reactor were coupled with the use of an enhanced photocatalyst (C_3N_4 -TiO₂) directly embedded in a dense polymer matrix. The effect of H₂O/CO₂ feed molar ratio, contact time and feed pressure on species production, reaction selectivity and converted carbon were investigated. Total converted carbon/catalyst weight ratio varied between 5–61 μmol g_{catalyst}⁻¹ h⁻¹, with the latter value obtained at an H₂O/CO₂ feed molar ratio of 5, 3 bar as feed pressure and 2 s as contact time with MeOH as the prevailing product.

Overall, alcohols production, most of which is MeOH, was promoted by a low contact time and high H₂O/CO₂ feed molar ratio as a result of the fast removal of the reaction mixture from the reaction volume exposed to UV light and, thus, with less promotion of oxidation and/or side reactions. On the contrary, the slow removal as well as the water defect caused a partial oxidation of MeOH and EtOH, favoring HCHO production. Feed pressure effect was specifically investigated for the first time in literature. At 5 bar, MeOH and HCHO resulted the unique products, with a lower amount of MeOH and a greater amount of HCHO with respect to the results obtained at 3 bar. This behaviour could be attributed to a hindered desorption induced by the higher reaction pressure, which leads to partial oxidation reactions and, thus, to the formation of HCHO to the detriment of MeOH.

The comparison with other photocatalytic membrane reactors developed by us showed that the MeOH production increases with the TiO₂ content in the catalytic membrane, passing from 17.9 when only C_3N_4 was embedded into Nafion membrane to 45 for 100% of TiO₂. Membrane reactor with C_3N_4 -TiO₂ photocatalyst, resulted more performant than the other systems in terms of carbon converted, even though less selective toward MeOH.

Acknowledgements

The research project PON 01_02257 “FotoRiduCO₂ - Photoconversion of CO₂ to methanol fuel”, co-funded by MiUR (Ministry of University Research of Italy) with Decreto 930/RIC 09-11-2011 in the framework the PON “Ricerca e competitività 2007-2013”, is gratefully acknowledged.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.117779>.

References

- [1] E.I. Koytsoumpa, C. Bergins, E. Kakaras, The CO₂ economy: review of CO₂ capture and reuse technologies, *J. Supercrit. Fluids* 132 (2018) 3.
- [2] <http://www.captage-stockage-valorisation-CO2.fr/en/principles-CO2-reuse>.
- [3] S. Das, W.M.A. Wan Daud, A review on advances in photocatalysts towards CO₂ conversion, *RSC Adv.* 4 (2014) 20856.
- [4] J.W. Maina, C. Pozo-Gonzalo, L. Kong, J. Shutz, M. Hill, L.F. Dumeé, Metal organic framework based catalyst for CO₂ conversion, *Mater. Horizon* 4 (3) (2017) 345.
- [5] A. Faunce, W. Lubitz, A.W. Rutherford, D. MacFarlane, G.F. Moore, P. Yang, D.G. Nocera, T.A. Moore, D.H. Gregory, S. Fukuzumi, K.B. Yoon, F.A. Armstrong, M.R. Wasielewski, S. Styring, Energy and environment policy case for a global project on artificial photosynthesis, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 6 (2013) 695.
- [6] K. Li, B. Peng, J. Jin, L. Zan, T. Peng, Carbon nitride nanodots decorated brookite TiO₂ quasi nanocubes for enhanced activity and selectivity of visible-light-driven CO₂ reduction, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 203 (2017) 910.
- [7] M.K. Hossain, A.R. Koirala, U.S. Akhtar, M.K. Song, K.B. Yoon, First synthesis of highly crystalline, hexagonally ordered, uniformly mesoporous TiO₂-B and its optical and photocatalytic properties, *Chem. Mater.* 27 (19) (2015) 6550.
- [8] B. Elgh, N. Yuan, H.S. Cho, D. Magerl, M. Philipp, S.V. Roth, KyungByung Yoon, P. Müller-Buschbaum, O. Terasaki, A.E.C. Palmqvist, Controlling morphology, mesoporosity, crystallinity, and photocatalytic activity of ordered mesoporous TiO₂ films prepared at low temperature, *APL Mater.* 2 (2014) 113313.
- [9] M. Dilla, A. Mateblowski, S. Ristig, J. Strunk, Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction under continuous flow high-purity conditions: influence of light intensity and H₂O concentration, *ChemCatChem* 9 (2017) 4345.
- [10] E. Masolo, N. Senes, E. Pellicer, M. Dolores Baro, S. Enzo, M.I. Pilo, G. M. S. Garroni, Evaluation of the anatase/rutile phase composition influence on the photocatalytic performances of mesoporous TiO₂ powders, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 40 (2015) 14483.
- [11] C. Huang, R. Guo, W. Pan, J. Tang, W. Zhou, X. Liu, H. Qin, P. Jia, One-dimension TiO₂ nanostructures with enhanced activity for CO₂ photocatalytic reduction, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 464 (2019) 534.
- [12] P. Kar, S. Zeng, Y. Zhang, E. Vahidzadeh, A. Manuel, R. Kisslinger, K.M. Alam, U.K. Thakur, N. Mahdi, P. Kumar, K. Shankar, High rate CO₂ photoreduction using flame annealed TiO₂ nanotubes, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 243 (2019) 522.
- [13] Z. Sun, N. Talreja, H. Tao, J. Texter, M. Muhler, J. Strunk, J. Chen, Catalysis of carbon dioxide photoreduction on nanosheets: fundamentals and challenges, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 57 (2018) 7610.
- [14] K. Li, T. Peng, Z. Ying, S. Song, J. Zhang, Ag-loading on brookite TiO₂ quasi nanocubes with exposed {2 1 0} and {0 0 1} facets: activity and selectivity of CO₂ photoreduction to CO/CH₄, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 180 (2016) 130.
- [15] C. Han, Y. Wang, Y. Lei, B. Wang, N. Wu, Q. Shi, Q. Li, In situ synthesis of graphitic- C_3N_4 nanosheet hybridized N-doped TiO₂ nanofibers for efficient photocatalytic H₂ production and degradation, *Nano Res.* 8 (2015) 1199.
- [16] L. Jiang, X. Yuan, G. Zeng, Z. Wu, J. Liang, X. Chen, L. Leng, H. Wang, H. Wang, Metal-free efficient photocatalyst for stable visible-light photocatalytic degradation of refractory pollutant, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 221 (Suppl. C) (2018) 715.
- [17] W.-J. Ong, L.-L. Tan, Y.H. Ng, S.-T. Yong, S.-P. Chai, Graphitic carbon nitride (g- C_3N_4)-based photocatalysts for artificial photosynthesis and environmental remediation: are we a step closer to achieving sustainability? *Chem. Rev.* 116 (12) (2016) 7159.
- [18] J. Wang, M. Li, M. Qian, S. Zhou, A. Xue, L. Zhang, Y. Zhao, W. Xing, Simple synthesis of high specific surface carbon nitride for adsorption-enhanced photocatalytic performance, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 13 (2018) 248.
- [19] Y. Wang, L. Li, Y. Wei, J. Xue, H. Chen, L. Ding, J. Caro, H. Wang, Water transport with ultralow friction through partially exfoliated g- C_3N_4 nanosheet membranes with self-supporting spacers, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 56 (2017) 8974.

- [20] J. Wang, M. Li, S. Zhou, A. Xue, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhao, J. Zhong, Q. Zhang, Graphitic carbon nitride nanosheets embedded in poly (vinyl alcohol) nanocomposite membranes for ethanol dehydration via pervaporation, *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 188 (2017) 24.
- [21] K. Cao, Z. Jiang, X. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Zhao, R. Xing, S. Yang, C. Gao, F. Pan, Highly water-selective hybrid membrane by incorporating g-C₃N₄ nanosheets into polymer matrix, *J. Memb. Sci.* 490 (2015) 72.
- [22] L.J. Fang, Y.H. Li, P.F. Liu, D.P. Wang, H. Zeng, X. Wang, H.G. Yang, Facile fabrication of large-aspect-ratio g-C₃N₄ nanosheets for enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen evolution, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 5 (2017) 2039.
- [23] X.G. Ma, Y.H. Lv, J. Xu, Y.F. Liu, R.Q. Zhang, Y.F. Zhu, A strategy of enhancing the photoactivity of g-C₃N₄ via doping of nonmetal elements: a first-principles study, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 116 (2012) 23485.
- [24] X. Zhang, X. Xie, H. Wang, J. Zhang, B. Pan, Y. Xie, Enhanced photoresponsive ultrathin graphitic-phase C₃N₄ nanosheets for bioimaging, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 135 (2013) 18.
- [25] X. Ding, J. Guo, X. Feng, Y. Honsho, J. Guo, S. Seki, P. Maitrad, A. Saeki, S. Nagase, D. Jiang, Synthesis of metallophthalocyanine covalent organic frameworks that exhibit high carrier mobility and photoconductivity, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 50 (2011) 1289.
- [26] X. Wang, S. Blechert, M. Antonietti, Polymeric graphitic carbon nitride for heterogeneous photocatalysis, *ACS Catal.* 2 (2012) 1596.
- [27] J. Wen, J. Xie, X. Chen, X. Li, A review on g-C₃N₄-based photocatalysts, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 391 (2017) 72.
- [28] K.C. Crake, R. Christoforidis, B. Godin, A. Moss, S. Kafizas, J.R. Zafeirotos, C. Durrant, C. Petit, Titanium dioxide/carbon nitride nanosheet nanocomposites for gas phase CO₂ photoreduction under UV-visible irradiation, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 242 (2019) 369.
- [29] K. Dai, L. Lu, C. Liang, Q. Liu, G. Zhu, Heterojunction of facet coupled g-C₃N₄/surface-fluorinated TiO₂ nanosheets for organic pollutants degradation under visible LED light irradiation, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 156–157 (2014) 331.
- [30] J. Lv, J. Zhang, J. Liu, Z. Li, K. Dai, C. Liang, Bi SPR-promoted Z-scheme Bi₂MoO₆/CdS-diethylenetriamine composite with effectively enhanced visible light photocatalytic hydrogen evolution activity and stability, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 6 (2018) 696.
- [31] Y. Huo, Y. Yang, K. Dai, J. Zhang, Construction of 2D/2D porous graphitic C₃N₄/SnS₂ composite as a direct Z-scheme system for efficient visible photocatalytic activity, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 481 (2019) 1260.
- [32] J. Yu, S. Wang, J. Low, W. Xiao, Enhanced photocatalytic performance of direct Z-scheme g-C₃N₄-TiO₂ photocatalysts for the decomposition of formaldehyde in air, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 15 (2013) 16883.
- [33] X. Chen, J. Wei, R. Hou, Y. Liang, Z. Xie, Y. Zhu, X. Zhang, H. Wang, Growth of g-C₃N₄ on mesoporous TiO₂ spheres with High photocatalytic activity under visible light irradiation, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 188 (2016) 342.
- [34] M. Reli, P. Huo, M. Šihor, N. Ambrožová, I. Troppová, L. Matějová, J. Lang, L. Svoboda, P. Kušrowski, M. Ritz, P. Praus, K. Kočí, Novel TiO₂/C₃N₄ photocatalysts for photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ and for photocatalytic decomposition of N₂O, *J. Phys. Chem. A* 120 (2016) 8564.
- [35] J. Yan, P. Li, H. Bian, H. Wu, S. Liu, Synthesis of a nano-sized hybrid C₃N₄/TiO₂ sample for enhanced and steady solar energy absorption and utilization, *Sustain. Energy Fuels* 1 (2017) 95.
- [36] K. Dai, J. Lv, J. Zhang, G. Zhu, L. Gerng, C. Liang, Efficient visible-light-driven splitting of water into hydrogen over surface-fluorinated anatase TiO₂ nanosheets with exposed [001] facets/layered CdS-Diethylenetriamine nanobelts, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 6 (2018) 12817.
- [37] Z. Wang, J. Lv, J. Zhang, K. Dai, C. Liang, Facile synthesis of Z-scheme BiVO₄/porous graphite carbon nitride heterojunction for enhanced visible-light-driven photocatalyst, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 430 (2018) 595.
- [38] Y. Huo, J. Zhang, K. Dai, Q. Li, J. Lv, G. Zhu, All-solid-state Z-scheme porous g-C₃N₄/Sn₂S₃-DETA heterostructure photocatalyst with enhanced performance in photocatalytic CO₂ reduction, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 241 (2019) 528.
- [39] M. Sellaro, M. Bellardita, A. Brunetti, E. Fontananova, L. Palmisano, E. Drioli, G. Barbieri, CO₂ conversion in a photocatalytic continuous membrane reactor, *RSC Adv.* 6 (2016) 67418.
- [40] F.R. Pomilla, A. Brunetti, G. Marci, E.I. Garcia-Lopez, E. Fontananova, L. Palmisano, G. Barbieri, CO₂ to liquid fuels: photocatalytic conversion in a continuous membrane reactor, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 6 (2018) 8743.
- [41] D. Shi, Y. Feng, S. Zhong, Photocatalytic conversion of CH₄ and CO₂ to oxygenated compound over Cu/CdS-TiO₂/SiO₂ catalyst, *Catal. Today* 98 (2004) 505.
- [42] Y. Hori, R. Takahashi, Y. Yoshinami, A. Murata, Electrochemical reduction of CO at copper electrode, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 101 (1997) 7075.
- [43] Z. Sun, H. Wang, Z. Wu, L. Wang, g-C₃N₄ based composite photocatalysts for photocatalytic CO₂ reduction, *Catal. Today* 300 (2018) 160.
- [44] M. Bellardita, A. Di Paola, E. García-López, V. Loddo, G. Marci, L. Palmisano, Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction in gas-solid regime in the presence of bare, SiO₂ supported or Cu-Loaded TiO₂ samples, *Curr. Org. Chem.* 17 (2013) 2440.